

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 04/19/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/737,137	1	2/16/2003	Timo Eriksson	884A.0029.U1(US)	5976
29683	7590	04/19/2006		EXA	MINER
		MITH, LLP	LA, NICHOLAS T		
4 RESEARCH DRIVE SHELTON, CT 06484-6212				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2617	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/737,137	ERIKSSON ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Nicholas T. La	2617			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SH WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DON'S INTERPRETABLE OF THE MAILING DON'S INTERPRETA	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 D	ecember 2003.				
2a)[☐	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 48	53 O.G. 213.			
Disposit	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application	•				
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 and 13-27 is/are rejected.					
7)⊠	Claim(s) 12 is/are objected to.					
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9) 又	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
,	The drawing(s) filed on 16 December 2003 is/a		ted to by the Examiner.			
ŕ	Applicant may not request that any objection to the					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmer						
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152)			

⁷ Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

The Art Unit location of your application in the USPTO has changed. To aid in correlating any papers for this application, all further correspondence regarding this application should be directed to Art Unit 2617.

Specification

1) The specification is objected to for missing heading for Detailed Description of the Invention. See guidelines below

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).

Art Unit: 2617

(i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.

- (j) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2) Regarding claims 10, 26, the phrase "such as" renders the claims indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d). Correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2617

3) Claims 1, 3-4, 8, 13-15, 21-23, 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiodo et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0062547) and further in view of Möckridge et al. (US Patent No. 6,876,543).

Regarding **independent claims 1 and 22**, Chiodo et al. teaches a handportable device (see Figure 1, paragraph [0065]) including

a circuit assembly (paragraph [0005]);

a cover encasing the circuit assembly (Figure 1, element 10a, 10b, paragraph [0065]);

a closing arrangement, which includes a polymer actuator (paragraph [0038]-[0040]), alterable between a first condition which retains at least a part of the cover on the circuit assembly and a second condition in which it allows the part of the cover to be removed from the engine assembly (paragraph [0068]-[0069]). However, Chiodo et al. does not specifically teach a portable device, wherein the device includes an **engine** assembly. In an analogous art, Mockridge et al. teaches housing for a portable device and method assembling the same. Mockridge et al. further teaches a portable device which includes an **engine** assembly (Figure 1, element 16; col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 38) and wherein a closing arrangement alterable, part of the cover is remained on the engine assembly and part is removed (see Figure 10, 11; col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 38). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Chiodo et al. portable device to include an engine assembly and the mechanical movement such as taught by

Art Unit: 2617

Mockridge et al. for an improved housing structure that will facilitate assembly of the device.

Regarding **independent claim 27**, Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. further teaches a hand-portable device including a cover encasing the engine assembly (Mockridge et al., Figure 1), the cover being formed in at least two parts (Mockridge et al.; Figure 1) and the device including a sealing arrangement (snap fit connection) for sealing (snap fit) between the two parts or between one of the cover parts and the engine assembly, the sealing arrangement including a polymer defastener (actuator) (Chiodo et al.; Figure 1; paragraph [0038]-[0040], [0068]).

Regarding **claims 3, 23**, Chiodo et al. further teaches a method and a hand-portable device, wherein the closing arrangement includes means for altering the configuration of the polymer actuator between the first configuration and the second configuration by selectively applying a voltage to the polymer actuator (paragraph [0086]-[0090]).

Regarding **claim 4**, Chiodo et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein the polymer actuator includes a conductive polymer (paragraph [0086], [0096]).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 8**, Chiodo et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein the device is configured such that when the closing arrangement is in the second condition, at least a part of the cover is forced out of contact with the engine assembly (paragraph [0069]).

Regarding claim 13, Mockridge et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein the cover includes at least two cover parts which are detachable from the engine assembly, the cover being retained on the engine assembly by attaching the cover parts together to encase the engine assembly or by attaching each cover part to the engine assembly (see Figure 1, 10, 11; col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 38).

Regarding claim 14, Mockridge et al. further teaches hand-portable device, wherein the cover includes one cover part which is permanently attached to the engine assembly and one cover part which is detachable from the engine assembly, the cover being retained on the engine assembly by attaching the detachable cover part to the other cover part or to the engine assembly (col. 3, line 29 to 38).

Regarding claims 15/13 and 15/14, Mockridge et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein the closing arrangement may selectively retain together first and second closure portions of the hand-portable device, in order to selectively retain the part of cover on the engine assembly, the first closure

Art Unit: 2617

portion being on one cover part and the second closure portion on the other cover part, or the first closure portion being on one of the cover parts and the second closure portion on the engine assembly (Figure 1, 10, 11; col. 2, line 64 to col. 3, line 38).

Regarding **claim 21**, Chiodo et al. further teaches cover part for a hand-portable device, the cover part including a polymer actuator, the configuration of which may be altered to alter the condition of the closing arrangement of the hand-portable device (paragraph [0069]).

4) Claims 2, 5-7, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiodo et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0062547) in view of Mockridge et al. (US Patent No. 6,876,543) and further in view of Browne et al. (US Pub. No. 2004/0074069).

Regarding claim 2, Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. teaches a handportable device with cover encasing an engine assembly, and a closing
arrangement including a polymer actuator. Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al.
further teaches applying heat transfer to the polymer actuator and further teaches
passing an electrical current through the material. However, Chiodo et al. and
Mockridge et al. does not exclusively teach an apparatus, wherein the polymer
actuator includes an electroactive polymer, this being a polymer which is capable
of converting electrical to mechanical energy. In an analogous art, Browne et al.

Art Unit: 2617

teaches electroactive polymer releasable fastening system and method of use. Browne et al. further teaches an apparatus, wherein the polymer actuator includes an electroactive polymer, this being a polymer which is capable of converting electrical to mechanical energy (Figure 1-7, paragraph [0016]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. to include a polymer which is capable of converting electrical to mechanical energy such as taught by Browne et al. in order to improve the apparatus such as Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. device for retaining or releasing the cover parts of the device respectively simply by applying a voltage to the electroactive polymer.

Regarding **claim 5**, Browne et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein the polymer actuator further includes an electrolyte sandwiched between two electrodes, one of the electrodes comprising the conductive polymer (see Figure 1; paragraph [0017]-[0019]).

Regarding **claim 6**, Browne et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein the polymer actuator is bistable, such that voltage may be applied to alter it between its first and second configurations, but application of voltage is not necessary to maintain it in either its first or its second configuration (paragraph [0017]).

Art Unit: 2617

Regarding **claim 7**, Chiodo et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein the polymer actuator in its first condition mechanically retains the cover on the engine assembly (paragraph [0068]).

Regarding claims 16, 17, Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. teaches a hand-portable device with cover parts. However, Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. does not teach a portable device, wherein configured such that when the two closure portions are retained together by the closing arrangement, a waterproof seal is formed between the closure portions such as disclosed in claim 16, and wherein the polymer actuator is located on one of the closure portions and the other portion is shaped to define a recess such as taught in claim 17. In an analogous art. Browne et al. further teaches a fastening releasable system, wherein the system is configured such that when the two closure portions are retained together by the closing arrangement that the polymer material expands to fill any voids between the surfaces to compensate for the tolerances the male protrusions on one cover and female receptacles one the other cover (waterproof seal is formed between the closure portions such as taught in claims 16 and 17) (see Figure 1, 6, paragraph [0017]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. to include the teaching of water proofing, wherein male protrusions would fill female receptacles to void any spaces between the two covers such as taught by Browne et al. to in order to enhance the effectiveness of Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. teaching in retaining the two cover parts

Art Unit: 2617

together and further protecting the engine assembly of the device from being damaged from the outside.

Regarding **claim 18**, Mockridge et al. further teaches a portable deive, wherein each cover part of the hand-portable device includes a body portion for covering a back or front of the engine assembly and a perimeter portion, the respective perimeter portions contacting one another when the cover encases the engine assembly, the perimeter portions of the cover parts comprising the closure portions (Mockridge et al.; see figure 1, 10, 11).

Regarding **claim 19**, Browne et al. further teaches a portable device, wherein the polymer actuator further extends substantially around the perimeter portion of one cover part and the recess extends substantially around the perimeter portion of the other cover part, the polymer actuator on the one cover part to retain the two cover parts together (see Figure 1, paragraph [0017]).

Regarding **claim 20**, Browne et al. further teaches a hand-portable device, wherein a plurality of discrete polymer actuators are provided around the perimeter portion of one cover part, with complimentary recesses being defined within the other cover part (see figure 1, paragraph [0017]).

5) Claims 9-10, 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiodo et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0062547) in view of

Art Unit: 2617

Mockridge et al. (US Patent No. 6,876,543) and further in view of Staniszewski (US Pub. No. 2004/0075581).

Regarding claims 9, 10, 24, 25, Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. teaches a hand-portable device with covers encasing an engine assembly, and a closing arrangement including a polymer actuator. However, Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. does not teach a portable device, wherein the device includes input means for allowing the input of security information to control the selective alteration of the polymer actuator between the first and second configurations. In an analogous art. Staniszewski teaches an electronic timer system and method. Staniszewski further teaches a portable device, wherein the device includes input means for allowing the input of security information such as a code, which may be input of the hand-portable device, to control the selective alteration of the polymer actuator between the first and second configurations (paragraph [0073]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Chiodo et al. and Mockridge et al. invention to include input means for allowing the input of security information such as a code, which may be input of the hand-portable device, to control the selective alteration of the polymer actuator between the first and second configurations such as taught by Staniszewski to enhance security in preventing unauthorized usage or locking/unlocking of the device.

Art Unit: 2617

6) Claims 11, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chiodo et al. (US Pub. No. 2002/0062547) in view of Mockridge et al. and Staniszewski and further in view of Matsunaga Tsutomu (JP 11039053A).

Regarding claims 11, 26, Chiodo et al., Mockridge et al., and Stanizewski teaches a hand-portable device with covers encasing an engine assembly, and a closing arrangement including a polymer actuator, wherein the device includes input means for allowing inputting of security information. However, Chiodo et al., Mockridge et al., and Stanizewski does not teach a portable device, wherein the device includes means for receiving the security information from a remote source. In an analogous art, Matsunaga Tsutomu teaches a password authenticating apparatus for electronic notebook, portable computer that has lock release unit, which opens cover covering main body of portable computer, when password input from remote controller coincides with preset password. Matsunaga further teaches a portable device, wherein the device includes means for receiving security information from a remote source (paragraph [0012]-[0015]). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of the invention was made to modify Chiodo et al., Mockridge et al., and Stanizewski invention to include means for receive security information from a remote station such as taught by Matsunaga Tsutomu in order to protect stored information to be accessed without authorization.

Allowable Subject Matter

Art Unit: 2617

7) Claim 12 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claim 12, the applied prior arts provided teachings of a handportable device includes an engine assembly, a cover for encasing the engine
assembly, a closing arrangement including a polymer actuator to allow
alterations in which the part of the cover to be removed from the engine
assembly. The device further includes input means for allowing inputting security
information to control the selective alteration, but fail to teach or fairly suggest the
specific combination of structural and functional limitations set forth in claim 12,
specially, the hand-portable device is configured such that it becomes
partially or completely non-functional if the cover is removed from the
engine assembly in the absence of the input of predetermined security
information.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nicholas T. La whose telephone number is (571)-272-8075. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Lester Kincaid can be reached on (571)-272-7922. The

Application/Control Number: 10/737,137 Page 14

Art Unit: 2617

fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Nicholas La 04/12/2006

NICK CORSARO PRIMARY EXAMINER